

JOURNAL OF MULTIVARIATE ANALYSIS 6, 295-308 (1976)

## Equivalence of Measures for Some Class of Gaussian Random Fields

K. INOUE

*Department of Mathematics, Shinshu University,  
Asahi-Machi, Matsumoto 390, Japan*

*Communicated by T. Hida*

We consider two Gaussian measures  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  on  $(C(G), \mathfrak{B})$  with zero expectations and covariance functions  $R_1(x, y)$  and  $R_2(x, y)$  respectively, where  $R_\nu(x, y)$  is the Green's function of the Dirichlet problem for some uniformly strongly elliptic differential operator  $A^{(\nu)}$  of order  $2m$ ,  $m \geq [d/2] + 1$ , on a bounded domain  $G$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  ( $\nu = 1, 2$ ). It is shown that if the order of  $A^{(2)} - A^{(1)}$  is at most  $2m - [d/2] - 1$ , then  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are equivalent, while if the order is greater than  $2m - [d/2] - 1$ , then  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are not always equivalent.

### 1. NOTATIONS

In this paper, we shall deal with equivalence of measures for some class of Gaussian random fields. In order to state our problem, we need the following notations. Let  $G$  be a bounded domain with a smooth boundary in the  $d$ -dimensional Euclidian space  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , and let  $m$  be a fixed integer such that  $m \geq [d/2] + 1$ . We denote by  $C_0^\infty(G)$  the linear space of all infinitely differentiable functions on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with supports contained in  $G$ . Set

$$D^\alpha \varphi = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} \varphi(x)}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial x_d^{\alpha_d}},$$

where  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d)$ ,  $|\alpha| = \sum_{j=1}^d \alpha_j$  and  $\alpha_j$ 's are non-negative integers. The Sobolev space  $H^n(G)$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , is a powerful tool in our discussion. The inner product and the norm in  $H^n(G)$  are given by

$$(\varphi, \psi)_n = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq n} \int_G D^\alpha \varphi(x) \cdot \overline{D^\alpha \psi(x)} dx,$$

Received January 13, 1975; revised July 15, 1975.

AMS 1970 Subject classifications: Primary 60G30; secondary 35J40, 60G15, 60J99.

Key words and phrases: Equivalence of Gaussian measures, Green's function of the Dirichlet problem.

and  $\|\varphi\|_n = (\varphi, \varphi)_n^{1/2}$ , respectively. Denote by  $H_0^n(G)$  the closure of  $C_0^\infty(G)$  in  $H^n(G)$ . Let us consider a uniformly strongly elliptic differential operator  $A$  of order  $2m$  expressed in the form

$$A\varphi = \sum_{|\alpha|, |\beta| \leq m} (-1)^{|\alpha|} D^\alpha (a_{\alpha\beta}(x) \cdot D^\beta \varphi(x)),$$

where  $a_{\alpha\beta}(x)$  are infinitely differentiable real bounded functions on  $G$  such that  $a_{\alpha\beta}(x) = a_{\beta\alpha}(x)$  ( $|\alpha|, |\beta| \leq m$ ). Then we shall say that  $A$  belongs to the class  $\mathfrak{U}_m$  if there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that

$$(\varphi, A\varphi)_0 \geq C \cdot \|\varphi\|_m^2 \quad \text{for any } \varphi \in C_0^\infty(G).$$

Now, let us introduce a Gaussian measure on a measurable space  $(C(G), \mathfrak{B})$ , where  $C(G)$  is the set of all real continuous functions  $\xi(x)$  on  $G$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$  is the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by cylinder subsets of  $C(G)$ . Let  $R(x, y)$  be the Green's function of the Dirichlet problem for an operator  $A \in \mathfrak{U}_m$  on the domain  $G$  in the following sense: for each  $y \in G$ ,

$$R(\cdot, y) \in H_0^m(G) \quad \text{and} \quad AR(\cdot, y) = \delta(\cdot - y),$$

where  $\delta(x)$  is the so-called delta function. It must be pointed out that  $R(x, y)$  is a real continuous positive-definite function on  $G \times G$  and is Hölder continuous in each argument (see Section 3). Therefore we can prove, by using the technique of Fernique [3], that there uniquely exists a probability measure  $P$  on  $(C(G), \mathfrak{B})$ , for which  $\{\xi(x), x \in G\}$  is a Gaussian random field with zero expectation and covariance function  $R(x, y)$ . The set of all probability measures on  $(C(G), \mathfrak{B})$  obtained in this way from operators in  $\mathfrak{U}_m$  will be denoted by  $\mathfrak{P}_m$ . With these notations we are now ready to state our problem in the following section.

## 2. STATEMENT OF RESULTS

It is well known that any two Gaussian measures  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  on  $(C(G), \mathfrak{B})$  are either equivalent ( $P_1 \sim P_2$ ) or mutually singular ( $P_1 \perp P_2$ ). We consider the particular case, where  $P_\nu$  is the probability measure in  $\mathfrak{P}_m$  determined by an operator  $A^{(\nu)} \in \mathfrak{U}_m$  ( $\nu = 1, 2$ ). We shall give a condition for  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  to be equivalent in terms of  $\text{order}(A^{(2)} - A^{(1)})$  which is the order of the operator  $A^{(2)} - A^{(1)}$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{U}_m^1$  be the set of all operators  $A \in \mathfrak{U}_m$  of the form

$$A = \sum_{p=1}^N L^{(p)*} L^{(p)}, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $N$  is a positive integer,  $L^{(p)}$  is a differential operator of order at most  $m$  with real bounded continuous coefficients, and  $L^{(p)*}$  is the differential operator formally adjoint to  $L^{(p)}$  ( $1 \leq p \leq N$ ). Let  $\mathfrak{U}_m^2$  be the set of all operators  $A$  of order  $2m$  defined by

$$A = \sum_{k=0}^m C_k (-\Delta)^{m-k}, \quad \Delta = \sum_{j=1}^d \partial^2 / \partial x_j^2, \quad (2.2)$$

where  $C_k$ 's are nonnegative constants. It is easy to see the relation  $\mathfrak{U}_m^2 \subset \mathfrak{U}_m^1 \subset \mathfrak{U}_m$ . Now our results are stated as follows.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $A^{(1)}$  and  $A^{(2)}$  be in  $\mathfrak{U}_m^1$  and  $\mathfrak{U}_m$ , respectively. If  $\text{order}(A^{(2)} - A^{(1)}) \leq 2m - [d/2] - 1$ , then the measures  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are equivalent.*

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $A^{(\nu)}$  be in  $\mathfrak{U}_m^2$  ( $\nu = 1, 2$ ). The measures  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are equivalent if and only if  $\text{order}(A^{(2)} - A^{(1)}) \leq 2m - [d/2] - 1$ .*

### 3. GREEN'S FUNCTION AND REPRODUCING KERNEL HILBERT SPACE

In this section, we summarize some results on the Green's function of the Dirichlet problem for an operator  $A \in \mathfrak{U}_m$ . Let us consider the equation

$$u \in H_0^m(G), \quad Au = f, \quad (3.1)$$

where  $f$  is a given element of  $H_0^{m*}(G)$  the dual space of  $H_0^m(G)$ . Then there exists a continuous linear operator  $R$  from  $H_0^{m*}(G)$  to  $H_0^m(G)$  such that

$$ARf = f \quad (3.2)$$

holds for any  $f \in H_0^{m*}(G)$  ([1]). If we restrict the domain of the operator  $R$  to the space  $L^2(G) = H^0(G)$ , then we have a continuous linear operator  $R$  from  $L^2(G)$  to  $L^2(G)$ , where the image of  $L^2(G)$  under  $R$  is contained in  $H^{2m}(G)$  ([1]). The kernel theorem shows the operator  $R$  can be written in the form

$$(Rf)(x) = \int_G R(x, y) f(y) dy, \quad f \in L^2(G), \quad (3.3)$$

where  $R(x, y)$  is a bounded continuous function on  $G \times G$  and  $R(x, y) \in H^m(G \times G)$  ([1]). Further we can prove that  $R(x, y)$  is a real positive-definite function on  $G \times G$  and is Hölder continuous in each argument. The operator  $R$  and the function  $R(x, y)$  are called the *Green operator* and the *Green's function*, respectively, of the Dirichlet problem for the operator  $A$  on the domain  $G$ .

We will now proceed to the reproducing kernel Hilbert space (RKHS) arising from the Green's function  $R(x, y)$ . First, introduce an inner product on  $C_0^\infty(G)$  defined by

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle = (\varphi, A\psi)_0, \quad \varphi, \psi \in C_0^\infty(G). \quad (3.4)$$

Set  $|\varphi| = \langle \varphi, \varphi \rangle^{1/2}$  and denote by  $H(A)$  the Hilbert space defined by the completion of  $C_0^\infty(G)$  with respect to this norm. Two norms  $|\varphi|$  and  $\|\varphi\|_m$ , that were given before, give equivalent topologies on  $C_0^\infty(G)$ , so we can identify the set  $H(A)$  with the set  $H_0^m(G)$ . It is noted that  $H(A)$  is a separable Hilbert space consisting of continuous functions on  $G$ . Further we see the following

LEMMA 1. *The space  $H(A)$  is a RKHS with the reproducing kernel  $R(x, y)$ ,  $x, y \in G$ . That is,  $H(A)$  is the smallest Hilbert space satisfying the following two conditions:*

- (1)  $R(\cdot, y) \in H(A)$  for any  $y \in G$ ,
- (2)  $\langle u(\cdot), R(\cdot, y) \rangle = u(y)$  for any  $u \in H(A)$  and any  $y \in G$ .

#### 4. THE DIRECT PRODUCT OF A REPRODUCING KERNEL HILBERT SPACE

The notion of the direct product of a RKHS ([2]) plays an important role in our discussion. Let  $H(R)$  be an arbitrary RKHS with a reproducing kernel  $R(x, y)$ , which is a real-valued function on  $G \times G$ . We assume that  $H(R)$  is a separable Hilbert space. The direct product  $H^\otimes(R)$  of  $H(R)$  is the RKHS with a reproducing kernel  $(R \otimes R)[(x^1, y^1), (x^2, y^2)]$ ,  $(x^1, y^1), (x^2, y^2) \in G \times G$ , defined by

$$(R \otimes R)[(x^1, y^1), (x^2, y^2)] = R(x^1, x^2) \cdot R(y^1, y^2).$$

We denote by  $\langle u, v \rangle$  and  $\langle\langle f, g \rangle\rangle$  the inner products in  $H(R)$  and  $H^\otimes(R)$  respectively. Set  $|u| = \langle u, u \rangle^{1/2}$  and  $\|f\| = \langle\langle f, f \rangle\rangle^{1/2}$ . The space  $H^\otimes(R)$  is to be a set of functions on  $G \times G$ . Especially,  $H^\otimes(R)$  contains functions  $u \otimes v$  on  $G \times G$  given by  $(u \otimes v)(x, y) = u(x) \cdot v(y)$ , where  $u, v \in H(R)$ . For any  $u, v, u', v' \in H(R)$ , we have

$$\langle\langle u \otimes v, u' \otimes v' \rangle\rangle = \langle u, u' \rangle \cdot \langle v, v' \rangle. \quad (4.1)$$

Suppose  $\{v_n\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$  is a complete orthonormal system in  $H(R)$ . Then we see that the double sequence  $\{v_k \otimes v_l\}_{k,l=1,2,\dots}$  is the complete orthonormal system

in  $H^{\otimes}(R)$  and further that the space  $H^{\otimes}(R)$  consists of all functions  $f$  on  $G \times G$  of the form

$$f(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{kl} \cdot (v_k \otimes v_l)(x, y) \quad (4.2)$$

with

$$\|f\|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_{kl}|^2 < \infty. \quad (4.3)$$

It is easily seen that the series (4.2) converges absolutely for each  $x, y \in G$ .

LEMMA 2. For any  $f \in H^{\otimes}(R)$  and any  $x, y \in G$ , we have

- (1)  $f(x, \cdot), f(\cdot, y) \in H(R)$ ,
- (2)  $|f(x, \cdot)|^2 \leq R(x, x) \cdot \|f\|^2, \quad |f(\cdot, y)|^2 \leq R(y, y) \cdot \|f\|^2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f$  be represented in the form (4.2) and let  $x$  be any fixed point in  $G$ . We have, in view of (4.3),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{kl} v_k(x) \right|^2 &\leq \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_{kl}|^2 \right) \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |v_k(x)|^2 \right) \\ &= \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |v_k(x)|^2 \right) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_{kl}|^2 \\ &= \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\langle v_k(\cdot), R(\cdot, x) \rangle|^2 \right) \cdot \|f\|^2 \\ &= |R(\cdot, x)|^2 \cdot \|f\|^2 = R(x, x) \cdot \|f\|^2 < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which implies the series  $\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} (\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{kl} v_k(x)) \cdot v_l(\cdot)$  converges strongly in  $H(R)$  to some element  $g_x(\cdot) \in H(R)$ . This involves, for each  $y \in G$ ,

$$f(x, y) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{kl} v_k(x) \right) v_l(y) = g_x(y),$$

which proves  $f(x, \cdot) \in H(R)$ . Further we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x, \cdot)|^2 &= |g_x|^2 \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{kl} v_k(x) \right|^2 \leq R(x, x) \cdot \|f\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

The rest of the lemma can be proved analogously.

LEMMA 3. For any  $f \in H^{\otimes}(R)$  and any  $u \in H(R)$ , a function  $f_u$  on  $G$  given by  $f_u(x) = \langle f(x, \cdot), u(\cdot) \rangle$  satisfies

- (1)  $f_u \in H(R)$ ,
- (2)  $\|f_u\| \leq \|f\| \cdot \|u\|$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f$  be represented in the form (4.2) and let  $x$  be any fixed point in  $G$ . We have shown in the proof of Lemma 2 that

$$f(x, \cdot) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{kl} v_k(x) \right) \cdot v_l(\cdot) \quad \text{in } H(R).$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} f_u(x) &= \langle f(x, \cdot), u(\cdot) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{kl} v_k(x) \right) \langle v_l, u \rangle \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{kl} \langle v_l, u \rangle \right) v_k(x), \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_{kl} v_k(x)| \cdot |\langle v_l, u \rangle| \right)^2 &\leq \left\{ \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_{kl} v_k(x)| \right)^2 \right\} \left\{ \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} |\langle v_l, u \rangle|^2 \right\} \\ &\leq R(x, x) \cdot \|f\|^2 \cdot \|u\|^2 < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{kl} \langle v_l, u \rangle \right|^2 &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_{kl}|^2 \right) \left( \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} |\langle v_l, u \rangle|^2 \right) \\ &= \|f\|^2 \cdot \|u\|^2 < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which implies the series  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{kl} \langle v_l, u \rangle \right) v_k(\cdot)$  converges strongly in  $H(R)$  to some element  $g(\cdot) \in H(R)$ . It follows that  $f_u(x) = g(x)$  and consequently  $f_u \in H(R)$ . We then obtain

$$\|f_u\|^2 = \|g\|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{kl} \langle v_l, u \rangle \right|^2 \leq \|f\|^2 \cdot \|u\|^2,$$

which completes the proof.

In the rest of this section, we assume that  $R(x, y)$  is the Green's function

corresponding to an operator  $A \in \mathfrak{A}_m$ . We have shown in Lemma 1 that  $R(x, y)$  is the reproducing kernel of the Hilbert space  $H(A)$ . For this reason, it is convenient to denote by  $H^{\otimes}(A)$  the direct product of  $H(A)$ .

LEMMA 4. Let  $A \in \mathfrak{A}_m^1$  be represented in the form (2.1). Then the inner products in  $H(A)$  and  $H^{\otimes}(A)$  can be represented as follows;

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \sum_{p=1}^N (L^{(p)}u, L^{(p)}v)_0, \quad u, v \in H(A), \quad (4.4)$$

$$\langle\langle f, g \rangle\rangle = \sum_{p=1}^N \sum_{q=1}^N (L_x^{(p)} L_y^{(q)} f(x, y), L_x^{(p)} L_y^{(q)} g(x, y))_{L^2(G \times G)}, \quad f, g \in H^{\otimes}(A), \quad (4.5)$$

where  $(F_1, F_2)_{L^2(G \times G)}$  denotes the inner product in the space  $L^2(G \times G)$  of square integrable functions on  $G \times G$ .

*Proof.* We can easily show (4.4) by (3.4). In order to prove (4.5), we have only to show, for any  $f \in H^{\otimes}(A)$ ,

$$\|f\|^2 = \sum_{p=1}^N \sum_{q=1}^N \|L_x^{(p)} L_y^{(q)} f(x, y)\|_{L^2(G \times G)}^2, \quad (4.6)$$

where  $\|F\|_{L^2(G \times G)} = (F, F)_{L^2(G \times G)}^{1/2}$ . We denote by  $\mathfrak{H}$  the subset of  $H^{\otimes}(A)$  consisting of all functions  $f$  on  $G \times G$  of the form

$$f(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^{n_1} \sum_{l=1}^{n_2} \alpha_{kl} \cdot (v_k \otimes v_l)(x, y),$$

where  $n_j$ 's are positive integers. Then  $\mathfrak{H}$  is a dense linear subset of  $H^{\otimes}(A)$ . Using (4.1) and (4.4), it is easy to prove (4.6) for any  $f \in \mathfrak{H}$ . We will now proceed to the general case. For any  $f \in H^{\otimes}(A)$ , there exist  $f_n \in \mathfrak{H}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n - f\| = 0$ . We denote by  $F_n^{p,q}$  the function in  $L^2(G \times G)$  given by

$$F_n^{p,q}(x, y) = L_x^{(p)} L_y^{(q)} f_n(x, y), \quad 1 \leq p, q \leq N, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

By using the relation (4.6) for each  $f_n$ , we can prove that  $\{F_n^{p,q}\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $L^2(G \times G)$  and it converges to some element

$F_{\infty}^{p,q} \in L^2(G \times G)$ , which is determined uniquely by  $f$  ( $1 \leq p, q \leq N$ ). We can then show the following relations:

$$\begin{cases} \|f\|^2 = \sum_{p=1}^N \sum_{q=1}^N \|F_{\infty}^{p,q}\|_{L^2(G \times G)}^2, \\ F_{\infty}^{p,q}(x, y) = L_x^{(p)} L_y^{(q)} f(x, y), \quad 1 \leq p, q \leq N, \end{cases}$$

which proves the relation (4.6) for any  $f \in H^{\otimes}(A)$ .

**LEMMA 5.** *Let  $A \in \mathfrak{A}_m^{-1}$  be represented in the form (2.1). The space  $H^{\otimes}(A)$  consists of all continuous functions  $f$  on  $G \times G$  satisfying the following three conditions:*

- (1)  $f(x, \cdot), f(\cdot, y) \in H_0^m(G)$  for any  $x, y \in G$ ,
- (2)  $(f(x, \cdot), A\varphi(\cdot))_0 \in H_0^m(G)$  for any  $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(G)$ ,
- (3)  $\sum_{p=1}^N \sum_{q=1}^N \int_G \int_G |L_x^{(p)} L_y^{(q)} f(x, y)|^2 dx dy < \infty$ .

*Proof.* If  $f \in H^{\otimes}(A)$ , then Lemmas 2, 3, and 4 guarantee the conditions (1), (2), and (3) respectively. The continuity of  $f$  follows from the one of the reproducing kernel of  $H^{\otimes}(A)$ . Conversely, let  $f$  be a continuous function on  $G \times G$  satisfying the above three conditions. We have, for any  $g \in H^{\otimes}(A)$ ,

$$\left| \sum_{p=1}^N \sum_{q=1}^N (L_x^{(p)} L_y^{(q)} g(x, y), L_x^{(p)} L_y^{(q)} f(x, y))_{L^2(G \times G)} \right| \leq C_f \cdot \|g\|,$$

where

$$C_f = \left\{ \sum_{p=1}^N \sum_{q=1}^N \|L_x^{(p)} L_y^{(q)} f(x, y)\|_{L^2(G \times G)}^2 \right\}^{1/2}.$$

Therefore, by the theorem of Riesz, there exists a function  $f_0 \in H^{\otimes}(A)$  such that, for any  $g \in H^{\otimes}(A)$ ,

$$\sum_{p=1}^N \sum_{q=1}^N (L_x^{(p)} L_y^{(q)} g(x, y), L_x^{(p)} L_y^{(q)} f(x, y))_{L^2(G \times G)} = \langle\langle g, f_0 \rangle\rangle.$$



If we take now a function  $g \in H^\otimes(A)$  given by  $g(x, y) = \varphi(x) \cdot \psi(y)$ , where  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are arbitrary functions in  $C_n^\infty(G)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{p=1}^N \sum_{q=1}^N (L_x^{(p)*} L_x^{(p)} \varphi(x) \cdot L_y^{(q)*} L_y^{(q)} \psi(y), f(x, y))_{L^2(G \times G)} \\ &= \sum_{p=1}^N \sum_{q=1}^N (L_x^{(p)*} L_x^{(p)} \varphi(x) \cdot L_y^{(q)*} L_y^{(q)} \psi(y), f_0(x, y))_{L^2(G \times G)}. \end{aligned}$$

This equation gives us further

$$(A\varphi(x) \cdot A\psi(y), f(x, y) - f_0(x, y))_{L^2(G \times G)} = 0.$$

Setting  $u(x) = (f(x, \cdot) - f_0(x, \cdot), A\psi(\cdot))_0$ ,  $x \in G$ , we obtain  $u \in H_0^m(G)$  and  $(u, A\varphi)_0 = 0$ . Then we see, by the uniqueness theorem of the Dirichlet problem, that  $u = 0$  on  $G$ . Therefore it holds, for each  $x \in G$ ,  $f(x, \cdot) - f_0(x, \cdot) \in H_0^m(G)$  and

$$(f(x, \cdot) - f_0(x, \cdot), A\psi(\cdot))_0 = 0,$$

from which we obtain  $f = f_0 \in H^\otimes(A)$ . The proof is thus complete.

## 5. PROOFS OF THEOREMS

First, we shall state a condition for two Gaussian measures to be equivalent in terms of the corresponding covariance functions. Let  $P_\nu$  be the probability measure in  $\mathfrak{P}_m$  determined by an operator  $A^{(\nu)} \in \mathfrak{A}_m$  ( $\nu = 1, 2$ ). We denote by  $R_\nu$  and  $R_\nu(x, y)$  the Green operator and the Green's function respectively corresponding to  $A^{(\nu)}$  ( $\nu = 1, 2$ ). Then we see by the general result [5] that  $P_1 \sim P_2$  if and only if

$$R_1(x, y) - R_2(x, y) \in H^\otimes(A^{(1)}).$$

Combining this with Lemma 5, we obtain the following

**PROPOSITION 1.** *Let  $A^{(1)}$  and  $A^{(2)}$  be in  $\mathfrak{A}_m^1$  and  $\mathfrak{A}_m$ , respectively, where  $A^{(1)}$  is represented in the form (2.1). The measures  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are equivalent if and only if*

$$\sum_{p=1}^N \sum_{q=1}^N \int_G \int_G |L_x^{(p)} L_y^{(q)} [R_1(x, y) - R_2(x, y)]|^2 dx dy < \infty. \quad (5.1)$$

In this connection we note that the above proposition is similar to the one for the case of homogeneous Gaussian random fields ([7]).

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Suppose  $A^{(1)}$  is represented in the form (2.1). Set  $r = \text{order}(A^{(2)} - A^{(1)})$  and

$$u(x) = [(R_1 - R_2)f](x) = \int_G [R_1(x, y) - R_2(x, y)] f(y) dy,$$

where  $f$  is an arbitrary function in  $L^2(G)$ . Then the function  $u$  is the solution of the Dirichlet problem in the following sense:

$$u \in H_0^m(G) \quad \text{and} \quad A^{(1)}u = (A^{(2)} - A^{(1)})R_2f.$$

Since  $R_2f \in H^{2m}(G)$  and  $(A^{(2)} - A^{(1)})R_2f \in H^{2m-r}(G)$ , we obtain  $u \in H^{(2m-r)+2m}(G)$  by the regularity theorem. Therefore the image of  $L^2(G)$  under  $R_1 - R_2$  is contained in  $H^{(2m-r)+2m}(G)$ . We see now by the kernel theorem that

$$R_1(x, y) - R_2(x, y) \in H^{(2m-r)+2m-[d/2]-1}(G \times G).$$

If  $r \leq 2m - [d/2] - 1$ , we obtain  $R_1(x, y) - R_2(x, y) \in H^{2m}(G \times G)$ , which implies the equivalence of  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  by Proposition 1. The proof is thus complete.

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Since  $A^{(1)} \in \mathfrak{A}_m^2 \subset \mathfrak{A}_m^1$ , the "if" part follows immediately from Theorem 1. We have now only to prove the "only if" part. Let us prepare some notations used below. We can assume that  $A^{(\nu)}$  is represented in the form

$$A^{(\nu)} = \sum_{k=0}^m C_k^{(\nu)} (-\Delta)^{m-k},$$

where  $C_k^{(\nu)}$ 's are nonnegative constants and  $C_0^{(\nu)} > 0$  ( $\nu = 1, 2$ ), and that  $A^{(1)}$  is also represented in the form (2.1). We denote by  $Q_\nu(\xi)$  a polynomial in  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d$ , given by

$$Q_\nu(\xi) = \sum_{k=0}^m C_k^{(\nu)} \left( -\sum_{j=1}^d \xi_j^2 \right)^{m-k}, \quad \nu = 1, 2.$$

By a fundamental solution of  $A^{(\nu)}$  we mean a distribution  $E_\nu$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $A^{(\nu)}E_\nu = \delta$  ( $\nu = 1, 2$ ). We can construct these fundamental solutions with the

aid of Fourier transforms and show that they are continuous functions on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . We remark that functions  $H_\nu(x, y)$ ,  $\nu = 1, 2$ , on  $G \times G$  given by

$$H_\nu(x, y) = E_\nu(x - y) - R_\nu(x, y), \quad \nu = 1, 2, \quad (5.2)$$

satisfy the conditions

$$H_\nu(x, y) \in \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} H^n(G \times G), \quad \nu = 1, 2. \quad (5.3)$$

In fact, it is easy to see that  $A^{(\nu)}H_\nu f = 0$  ( $\nu = 1, 2$ ), where  $f$  is an arbitrary function in  $L^2(G)$  and  $H_\nu$  is a continuous linear operator from  $L^2(G)$  to  $L^2(G)$  given by

$$(H_\nu f)(x) = \int_G H_\nu(x, y) f(y) dy, \quad \nu = 1, 2.$$

We see then by the theorem of Friedrichs ([4]) that  $H_\nu f \in \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} H^n(G)$  ( $\nu = 1, 2$ ). Therefore we obtain (5.3) by the kernel theorem.

We are now ready to prove the only if part of Theorem 2. Suppose that  $P_1 \sim P_2$ . Then we have

$$\int_U |(A^{(2)} - A^{(1)}) E_2(x)|^2 dx < \infty, \quad U = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d; |x| < 1\}. \quad (5.4)$$

In fact, it is easy to see by (5.1)–(5.3) that

$$\sum_{p=1}^N \sum_{q=1}^N \int_G \int_G |L_x^{(p)} L_y^{(q)} [E_1(x - y) - E_2(x - y)]|^2 dx dy < \infty.$$

In view of the representation (2.1) of  $A^{(1)}$ , where the coefficients of the operators  $L^{(p)}$  are constants, it follows that

$$\int_G \int_G |A_x^{(1)} [E_1(x - y) - E_2(x - y)]|^2 dx dy < \infty.$$

Therefore we obtain (5.4) by using the following equation in the sense of distributions:

$$A_x^{(1)} [E_1(x - y) - E_2(x - y)] = (A_x^{(2)} - A_x^{(1)}) E_2(x - y).$$

In order to replace the condition (5.4) by the one concerning the polynomials  $Q_\nu(\xi)$  ( $\nu = 1, 2$ ), we construct the fundamental solution  $E_2(x)$  of  $A^{(2)}$  by using

the technique of Hörmander ([6]). Then  $E_2(x)$  can be written in the following form:

$$E_2(x) = F_{20}(x) + F_2(x), \quad (5.5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F_{20}(x) &= \mathfrak{F} \left[ \frac{1 - \chi_T(\xi)}{Q_2(i\xi)} \right] (x) \text{ symbolically,}^1 \\ F_2(x) &= \mathfrak{F} \left[ \frac{\chi_T(\xi)}{Q_2(i\xi)} \right] (x), \\ \chi_T(\xi) &= 1, \quad |\xi| \geq T, \\ &= 0, \quad |\xi| < T, \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

and  $T$  is some positive constant. We remark that  $F_{20}(x)$  is infinitely differentiable on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $F_2(x)$  is infinitely differentiable on  $\mathbb{R}^d - \{0\}$ . Therefore we see by (5.4) and (5.5) that

$$\int_U |(A^{(2)} - A^{(1)}) F_2(x)|^2 dx < \infty. \quad (5.7)$$

Set  $r = \text{order}(A^{(2)} - A^{(1)})$  and

$$M(x) = \sum_{k=0}^m (C_k^{(2)} - C_k^{(1)}) (-1)^{m-k} \mathfrak{F} \left( \chi_T(\xi) \frac{(\sum_{j=1}^d i^2 \xi_j^2)^{m-k}}{Q_2(i\xi)} \right) (x). \quad (5.8)$$

Then we see by (5.6)–(5.8) that  $M(x) \in L^2(U)$ . Thus it suffices to show  $r \leq 2m - [d/2] - 1$  under the condition  $M(x) \in L^2(U)$ . Set

$$S_1(\xi) = C_0^{(2)} \left( -\sum_{j=1}^d \xi_j^2 \right)^m \quad \text{and} \quad S_2(\xi) = \sum_{k=1}^m C_k^{(2)} \left( -\sum_{j=1}^d \xi_j^2 \right)^{m-k}.$$

By using the relation

$$\frac{1}{Q_2(i\xi)} = \frac{1}{S_1(i\xi)} - \frac{S_2(i\xi)}{S_1(i\xi)} \cdot \frac{1}{Q_2(i\xi)}, \quad |\xi| \geq T,$$

repeatedly, we obtain the following expansion:

$$\frac{(\sum_{j=1}^d i^2 \xi_j^2)^{m-k}}{Q_2(i\xi)} = \sum_{l=0}^{mn_0} C(k, l) \cdot |\xi|^{-(2k+2l)} + \rho_{k, n_0}(\xi), \quad |\xi| \geq T, \quad (5.9)$$

<sup>1</sup>  $\mathfrak{F}f$  denotes the inverse Fourier transform of  $f$  defined by

$$(\mathfrak{F}f)(x) = (1/(2\pi)^d) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{ix \cdot \xi} f(\xi) d\xi.$$

where  $C(k, l)$ 's are constants,  $C(k, 0) \neq 0$ , and  $n_0$  is a positive integer such that  $\rho_{k, n_0}(\xi) = o(|\xi|^{-d-1})$  as  $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$  ( $0 \leq k \leq m$ ). Set

$$K_p(x) = \mathfrak{F}[\chi_T(\xi) \cdot |\xi|^{-2p}](x), \quad p \geq 0, \quad (5.10)$$

and

$$R_k(x) = \mathfrak{F}[\chi_T(\xi) \cdot \rho_{k, n_0}(\xi)](x), \quad 0 \leq k \leq m. \quad (5.11)$$

Then we see by (5.8)–(5.11) that

$$\begin{aligned} M(x) &= (C_0^{(2)} - C_0^{(1)})(-1)^m C(0, 0) K_0(x) \\ &\quad + (C_0^{(2)} - C_0^{(1)})(-1)^m \sum_{l=1}^{mn_0} C(0, l) K_l(x) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{l=0}^{mn_0} (C_k^{(2)} - C_k^{(1)})(-1)^{m-k} C(k, l) K_{k+l}(x) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=0}^m (C_k^{(2)} - C_k^{(1)})(-1)^{m-k} R_k(x). \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

We can show that  $R_k(x)$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq m$ , are bounded continuous functions on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $K_p(x)$ ,  $0 \leq p \leq (d-1)/2$ , are written in the form

$$K_0(x) = \delta(x) + J_0(x), \quad (5.13)$$

$$K_p(x) = C_{p,d} \cdot |x|^{2p-d} + J_p(x), \quad 1 \leq p \leq (d-1)/2, \quad (5.14)$$

where  $J_p(x)$ ,  $0 \leq p \leq (d-1)/2$ , are bounded continuous functions on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $C_{p,d}$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq (d-1)/2$ , are nonzero constants. Further we can prove the following inequalities in  $U$ :

$$\begin{aligned} |K_p(x)| &\leq C_0 \cdot |x|^{2p-d}, & 1 \leq p \leq (d-1)/2, \\ &\leq C_0 \cdot (\log(1/|x|) + 1), & p = d/2, \\ &\leq C_0, & p \geq (d+1)/2, \end{aligned} \quad (5.15)$$

where  $C_0$  is some positive constant. Since  $M(x) \in L^2(U) \subset L^1(U)$ ,  $R_k(x) \in L^1(U)$  ( $0 \leq k \leq m$ ),  $K_p(x) \in L^1(U)$  ( $p \geq 1$ ) and  $K_0(x) \notin L^1(U)$ , we see by (5.12) that  $C_0^{(1)} = C_0^{(2)}$ . Therefore  $M(x)$  can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} M(x) &= (C_{m-r/2}^{(2)} - C_{m-r/2}^{(1)})(-1)^{r/2} C(m - (r/2), 0) K_{m-r/2}(x) \\ &\quad + \sum_{p=1}^{n_1} \lambda_p \cdot K_{m-r/2+p}(x) + R(x), \quad n_1 = mn_0 + (r/2), \end{aligned} \quad (5.16)$$

where  $\lambda_p$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq n_1$ , are constants and  $R(x)$  is a bounded continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

Now, we have only to consider two cases: (1)  $m - (r/2) > (d-1)/2$ ; (2)  $m - (r/2) \leq (d-1)/2$ . In case (1), we directly obtain  $r \leq 2m - d \leq 2m - [d/2] - 1$ . In case (2), we see by (5.14)–(5.16) that

$$\begin{aligned} M(x) = & (C_{m-r/2}^{(2)} - C_{m-r/2}^{(1)})(-1)^{r/2} C(m - (r/2), 0) C_{m-r/2, d} \cdot |x|^{2m-r-d} \\ & + \sum_{p=1}^{n_2} \mu_p \cdot |x|^{2m-r-d+2p} + J(x), \quad n_2 = [(d-1)/2] - (m - (r/2)), \end{aligned} \quad (5.17)$$

where  $\mu_p$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq n_2$ , are constants and  $J(x)$  is a continuous function on  $U - \{0\}$  satisfying the following inequality in  $U$ :

$$|J(x)| \leq C_0' \cdot (\log(1/|x|) + 1), \quad C_0' > 0.$$

Therefore we can show the following inequality in some neighborhood  $U_0$  of  $x = 0$ ,  $U_0 \subset U$ :

$$|M(x)| \geq C \cdot |x|^{2m-r-d}, \quad C > 0.$$

Then we see by  $M(x) \in L^2(U_0)$  that  $|x|^{2m-r-d} \in L^2(U_0)$ , which implies that  $r \leq 2m - [d/2] - 1$ . The proof is thus complete.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] AGMON, S. (1965). *Lectures on Elliptic Boundary Value Problems*. Van Nostrand, Princeton, New Jersey.
- [2] ARONSZAJN, N. (1950). Theory of reproducing kernels. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **68** 337–404.
- [3] FERNIQUE, X. (1964). Continuité des processus Gaussiens. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. A* **258** 6058–6060.
- [4] FRIEDRICHS, K. (1953). On the differentiability of the solutions of linear elliptic differential equations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **6** 299–325.
- [5] GOLOSOV, JU. I. AND TEMPEL'MAN, A. A. (1969). On equivalence of measures corresponding to Gaussian vector-valued functions. *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **10** 228–232.
- [6] HÖRMANDER, L. (1955). On the theory of general partial differential operators. *Acta Math.* **94** 161–248.
- [7] SKOROKHOD, A. V. AND YADRENKO, M. I. (1973). On absolute continuity of measures corresponding to homogeneous Gaussian fields. *Theory Probab. Its Appl. USSR* **18** 27–40.